



RICE UNIVERSITY



# Some comments on 7<sup>th</sup> APERC *Energy Outlook* and suggestions for the 8<sup>th</sup> edition

Peter R. Hartley

George & Cynthia Mitchell Professor of Economics, and  
Rice Scholar in Energy Studies, James A. Baker III Institute for Public Policy  
Rice University



# Purpose of the research

- ❖ President Dr Irie: Provide analytical input, guidance and recommendations to member governments
- ❖ “Supply and Demand Outlook” is the key publication
  - ❖ How does an analysis of possible supply and demand developments provide value to decision makers?
- ❖ Ministerial objectives provide a framework for the analysis and the especially the scenarios
  - ❖ How can analysis contribute? Especially, are there useful analytical contributions beyond supply and demand projections?
- ❖ Should the analysis ask fundamental questions about the objectives?
  - ❖ What are the intended *underlying* goals?
  - ❖ Are there other ways of achieving *those* goals that might be superior?
  - ❖ Interaction between different policies – gas and electricity in Australia
  - ❖ Bias toward new policies to offset unintended consequences of old ones



# Energy efficiency and economic efficiency

- ❖ Increasing energy efficiency was one of the ministerial directives examined in the 7<sup>th</sup> edition
- ❖ While energy is important, it is not the only resource that matters
  - ❖ We care also about land, capital, labor, other scarce inputs, clean water, clean air, impacts on wildlife, and many other scarce resources
- ❖ Economizing on energy use comes at a cost in terms of other goals forgone
- ❖ At the end of the day, we are concerned about getting the most benefit for people, all things considered, from all the resources we use
- ❖ Maximize net benefits not minimize the use of one or other input
- ❖ At best, energy efficiency is a means to an end, not an end in itself



# Analogy between maps and economic models

- ❖ A map is an abstraction
  - ❖ For a start, it is a 2D representation of a 3D surface
- ❖ Different maps are used for different purposes
  - ❖ Different types of projections
  - ❖ Geological maps, ecosystem maps, climate zones maps, weather maps
- ❖ Maps useful precisely because they abstract from some details
  - ❖ But it is also possible to get lost when crucial detail is left out!
  - ❖ What is critical to include depends on the purpose
    - ❖ Possible example: Our discussion of European Russia “in or out of APEC aggregate”?



# Using the equilibrium framework

- ❖ What are the main advantages of equilibrium modeling?
  - ❖ Keeps the reasoning internally consistent, for example by imposing budget constraints
  - ❖ Emphasizes the availability of substitutes along many dimensions: Fuels, technologies, locations of activities, trading partners etc
  - ❖ Shows how current *actual* choices affect future opportunities, and how anticipated future choices affect current *optimal* choices
- ❖ Use the framework to integrate the case studies
  - ❖ Example from discussion yesterday: Mexican policy
  - ❖ How would improved pipeline capacity to Mexico affect North American gas prices and trades and the availability of natural gas for LNG exports?
  - ❖ What further effects would any changes in US/Canadian exports have?



## Other possible examples

- ❖ Direct and indirect Canadian natural gas exports
- ❖ US-China trade dispute
- ❖ How domestic infrastructure developments can affect LNG trade
  - ❖ Canadian and US northeast pipelines
  - ❖ Australian bans on onshore gas production, with pipeline and storage infrastructure constraints, as east coast LNG exports started
  - ❖ Chinese pipeline infrastructure and the market for LNG imports
  - ❖ Implications for an Asian pricing hub for LNG
- ❖ Electrification of transport in different countries
  - ❖ How do the inputs to electricity production affect implications for energy markets?
- ❖ Autonomous vehicles: Distances driven, the demand for public transport



# Relevance of the anticipated long run

- ❖ Past choices limit what we can do in the short term
- ❖ Investment problems also require that we look forward along the path at what is likely to happen in the future
- ❖ Such problems have to be solved by looking at likely long-run situations and solving backwards to match the situation we are in now
  - ❖ Taking account of likely future developments is especially important in the energy sector where investments tend to have very long lives



# Economists always have at least two hands!

- ❖ There are always opportunity costs from using scarce resources
- ❖ Renewables are not the only feasible largely non-fossil fuel future
  - ❖ What are the other necessary inputs for renewables energy production – especially land, new transmission links, and critical minerals?
- ❖ A complete analysis would examine realistic alternatives
- ❖ A feasible alternative has nuclear as the base of the energy supply system
  - ❖ Energy density is critical
  - ❖ The scale of the current energy supply system is not appreciated by most people, including decision makers!
  - ❖ Energy use is critical to economic development and we are likely to have 9 or 10 billion people desiring a modern lifestyles by the end of the century
- ❖ How large are the external as well as explicit costs of nuclear compare with those of renewables ?





# What technologies might be available?

- ❖ The “horse” crisis
- ❖ Roger Pielke Jr at last year’s IEEJ conference emphasized the role of BECCS in making analyses of extreme scenarios feasible
  - ❖ If you have BECCS, you also have CCS
  - ❖ Can BECCS then compete with coal if other policies have made coal cheap?
- ❖ Possible alternative or enabling nuclear technologies: Laser separation of isotopes; small modular plants; thorium reactors; ITER and controlled fusion, and fusion of boron or other reactions
  - ❖ New element: Private firms working on fusion and other new nuclear
- ❖ Unconventional oil and gas revolution
  - ❖ Incumbent technologies with sunk costs have an advantage in that revenue only needs to cover O&M costs for them to keep operating
  - ❖ New technologies have to be promising enough for investors to believe they are likely to earn a competitive rate of return on their investment



# Prices matter

- ❖ A cure for high (low) prices is high (respectively, low) prices, *but only if markets are allowed to work*
  - ❖ Price movements induce substitutions on both the demand and supply sides of markets that tend to reverse the initial move → *stabilizing feedback*
- ❖ Policies that try to work against markets are unlikely to succeed
  - ❖ When markets deliver undesirable outcomes it is often *because* policy has previously restricted markets from operating
- ❖ Role of prices: Convey information as well as provide incentives
- ❖ Economic instruments in environmental policy
  - ❖ Taxes, or marketed emission permits, give incentives to use lowest cost methods of control and invent new control technologies etc
  - ❖ Contrast to mandated technologies as a form of command and control
  - ❖ Equimarginal principle – *efficient* reductions in emissions would mean larger reductions where the costs are lower



## Other pricing issues

- ❖ Oil prices as key exogenous energy price
  - ❖ What are reasonable forecasting models for oil prices?
  - ❖ Modelling the behavior of OPEC
- ❖ Spot and contract natural gas prices: Reduced financing costs versus lost optionality in spot markets
- ❖ Destination clauses – when do they matter?
  - ❖ Portfolio traders versus one-on-one trades
- ❖ More general issue: Price arbitrage in natural gas markets
- ❖ Temporary versus permanent shocks and quantity versus price response
  - ❖ Lessons from the LNG market after the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake
- ❖ Negative prices from renewable production mandates and subsidies
  - ❖ More generally, the tendency for renewables to drive down prices at the time they generate means subsidies may be difficult to eliminate



## Pricing *structure* is also important

- ❖ If prices do not correctly signal costs, mistakes are made
- ❖ Example: Current retail electricity prices have fixed and variable components vastly different from fixed versus variable supply costs
  - ❖ If customers can alter the amount they buy, they can avoid paying some of the fixed costs
  - ❖ Unstable feedback loop instead of a stable one as in a normal market
  - ❖ Higher demand customers have the strongest incentive to partially opt out, leading to consequences for equity
- ❖ As noted yesterday, this also applies to EV's avoiding fuel taxes
  - ❖ A major reason for imposing taxes is the congestion externality
  - ❖ Fuel taxes are also a “user charge” for paying the fixed costs of the road, policing etc infrastructure
- ❖ LCOE calculations for different types of generating technologies
  - ❖ What non-renewable capacity is displaced by renewable capacity?



# Some suggestions for 8<sup>th</sup> edition study

- ❖ Explicitly discuss *alternative* long-run futures
- ❖ Examine how different long-run futures affect interim investments
  - ❖ What else – like storage – needs to be developed in the interim?
  - ❖ In what sense is natural gas a “bridge fuel”?
  - ❖ How much technical progress is learning-by-doing, how much is explicit R&D (a critical issue discussed by Nordhaus)?
  - ❖ How long-lived are energy infrastructure investments?
  - ❖ How do you marry these considerations with the inherited legacy technologies?
- ❖ Use variations from the base case to illustrate important factors constraining policy choices and the, often largely hidden, indirect and longer-run consequences of policy decisions