# The Role of Carbon Dioxide Removal in Achieving Carbon Neutrality

EEJ// APERC International Symposium

May 30 2

DR Naser Odeh

**Principal Fellow** 

APSARC

## Table of Contents

### **01** Why we need CDRs

- 02 What are CDRs
- **03** CDRs in global net zero pathways
- 04 CDR prioritization for Saudi Arabia A case study
- 05 Summary



# Carbon dioxide removal (CDR) is becoming critical to tackle global emissions



Need for removals increases as the world fails to deliver emission reductions



Sources

1 The state of carbon dioxide removal (2023) for scenario keeping warming to  $1.5^{\circ}$ C;

2 UNFCCC Secretariat (2023): Technical dialogue of the first global stocktake. Synthesis report by the co-facilitators on the technical dialogue

### What are Carbon Dioxide Removals: Technology types

- CDRs remove CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere and store it durably
- Different from CCS (point-source capture)
- Includes both naturebased and engineered approaches
- Key attributes: permanence, scalability, and verifiability





### The foundations of credible and lasting CDRs

- A holistic, technology-neutral approach is essential to ensure CDRs are real, effective, and durable.
- Source, sink and process are all essential for the definition of a CDR
- Permanence:
  - Geological storage: 10,000-100,000s of years,
  - Mineralization: 100-1000s of years
  - Biochar: 100-1000 years
  - Nature-based solutions: 10-100
    years

Is the source atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> from biogenic<sup>®</sup> sources \* Biogenic carbon include carbon in wood, paper, grass trimmings, other waste streams which was originally removed from the atmosphere by photosynthesis.

Does the process of CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage lead to significant emissiosn which exceed the benefit of removal

> Does the CO<sub>2</sub> sink lead to carbon being stored for decades to millenia



### CDR in global net-zero pathways

Sources

- Currently limited ٠ deployment
- IPCC projects 5–16 ٠  $GtCO_2$  / year CDR needed by 2050
- **IEA Net-Zero Emissions** scenario includes engineered CDR
- 65 Mt/y of DAC and 185 Mt/y of BECCS by 2030
- CDR scales rapidly ٠ post-2030 to compensate for residuals



Only 7 kt of durable CDRs were delivered in 2020 as per CDR.fyi of which 4 kt were delivered by Charm



Source: Smith et al., 2024. State of Carbon Dioxide Removals Second Edition.



scalability, engineered solutions outperform other carbon technologies in the long run as costs

CDR.fyi as of December 2023, World Resources Institute, adapted from McKinsey Sustainability report as of December 2023 "Carbon Removals: How to scale a new gigaton industry

## Durable CDR is scaling rapidly: Market orders and corporate demand surging

EXAMPLES OF DURABLE CDR BUYERS

Durable CDR orders<sup>1</sup> have increased >13x over last 2 years In Mt CO<sub>2</sub> ->13x-8.0 4.5 0.6 0.1 2022 2023 2024 Until 2021 Analysis based on cdr.fyi **KAPSARC** مركــزالملـــك عبــدالله للدراســات والبحوث البتروليـــة

### Technology Professional Consumer & Industry, Aviation, & **Services** Retail Shipping BOEING SWISS Microsoft JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. amazon Cartier AIRBUS Morgan Stanley G Swiss Re ✓ Lufthansa Google Cocado stripe BCG -MOL 商船三井 pwc LEGO equinor Shopify McKinsey BREITLING BRITISH AIRWAYS & Company X Coca-Cola HBC zendesk American Airlines BLOCK ANA **WBS** Nasdaq HAM S Dropbox **ZURICH** O Partners Group engie 😂 AT&T amag Polaroid accenture BAIN & COMPANY **SWAROVSKI** Meta 💥 воом Rockwell Alphabet verdane 😡 crypto.com BAYER workday BARRY Rothesay IGI LA PRAIRIE Baillie Gifford Klarna Zürcher Kantonalbank Chloé

300 multinationals have already secured pilot offtakes of durable CDR across industries

7

Confidential 7

### CDR prioritization for Saudi Arabia – A case study

- □ KAPSARC study applied MCDA to rank CDR options
- □ 11 combinations of CDRs and storage options
- 8 Criteria including technology maturity, cost, permanence, MRV, alignment with Vision 2030 and co-benefits

We considered 11 CDR options for analysis
Afforestation (AF)
Soil sequestration (SS)
Wetland restoration (WR)
Wood for timber in construction (WfC)
Direct air capture (DAC) with CO <sub>2</sub> geological storage (DACCS)
DAC with CO <sub>2</sub> utilization in concrete and brine (DACCU)
DAC with mineral carbonation (DACMC)
Energy from waste with carbon contine and storage (EfM DECCS)

- 8 Energy-from-waste with carbon capture and storage (EfW BECCS)
- **9** Anaerobic digestion of waste with CO<sub>2</sub> utilization (BM BECCU)
- **10** Biochar (BC)
- 11 Enhanced weathering (EW)



12

3

5

6

7



8

### We applied technical, economic and environmental **Criteria**

- 1 Technology readiness level
- 2 Permanence / durability of CO<sub>2</sub> storage
- 3 Current costs and potential for cost reduction
- 4 Environmental and life cycle impacts (impact on air emissions; water
- 4 emissions; land, water and energy use; ecological impacts)
- 5 Enabling policy and regulatory framework
- 6 Monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV)-readiness
- 7 Suitability of Saudi Arabia
- 8 Additionality and co-benefits

The impact of each criterion for each of the CDR options is scored as high, medium or low

### CDR characterization: Maturity and Global potential



### Technology Readiness Level (TRL)

Global potential (Gt/y in 2050)



Conventional nature-based CDRs are higher TRL

9

Novel engineered solutions are in pilot and demonstration phase

Ocean alkalization, DAC and BECCS provide significant potential

Nature-based alone are not sufficient to achieve CDR targets



### **CDR characterization: Costs and MRV readiness**



Range of removal costs in literature (\$/t CO<sub>2</sub> removed)

Readiness of monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) protocols





Conventional nature-based CDRs are higher TRL

10

Novel engineered solutions are in pilot and demonstration phase

1-15 is scale defined by KAPSARC

Calculation methodology considers both (i) *precision of measurement* and (ii) availability of *guidance* 

Engineered solutions score high in general

### CDR ranking for Saudi Arabia

Engineer CDR solutions are essential for enabling negative emissions in Saudi Arabia

Land and water demand are key barriers for naturebased solutions

EfW with CCS and Biochar offer an immediate opportunity in the next decade

DAC has a longer-term role due to high costs and energy intensity

MRV and permanence challenges need to be addressed

Need for financial incentives, regulatory framework, clear governance

Decision matrix for comparing CDR technologies in Saudi Arabia.

CDR Technology	Technology readiness level (TRL)	CO <sub>2</sub> permanence and durability	Costs in KSA	Environmental impact	Established policies & regulations	MRV guidance & precision	Suitability to Saudi Arabia	Co-benefits to Saudi Arabia	Ranking by group
Afforestation	H	L	Ĺ	Н	H	Н	М	М	
Wetland restoration	Н	L	Н	Н	H	L	М	Н	и
Soil carbon sequestration	H	Ĺ	H	H	Ĺ	Ľ,	М	Ĺ	-
Wood products	H	L:	Η	Н	Ê.,	М	Ľ,	М	
BECCS (EfW) & permanent storage	М	Η	H	М	М	Н	H	Н	1
BECCS (biomethane) & concrete storage	М	Н	H	М	М	Н	Н	Н	1
Biochar	Н	М	Н	Н	L	М	М	H	3
DAC & permanent storage	М	H	М	М	М	М	Н	H	
DAC & concrete storage	М	Н	М	М	М	М	Н	H	2
DAC & Mineral carbonation	М	H	М	М	М	М	H	H	
Enhanced weathering	L	Н	Н	L	L	L.	L	L.	5

Scoring: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low

Odeh, N., Hunt J., Hejazi M., Wada Y. 2025. Energy Policy, Volume 205, 114698

### Sources of CDR credits demand in Saudi Arabia





- **Carbon dioxide removal is essential to achieve and sustain net-zero**
- □ A diverse portfolio of CDR solutions, nature-based and engineered, is needed to deliver scalable, permanent removals.
- □ Engineered CDRs like DAC, BECCS, and biochar are scaling rapidly and will be increasingly critical post-2030.
- □ Saudi Arabia can lead by advancing scalable, verifiable CDR solutions aligned with Vision 2030, notably EfW with CCS and biochar in combination with geological storage or mineral carbonation.
- □ Building a national CDR strategy with **MRV frameworks**, **pilot projects**, **and market incentives** will be key to unlocking this potential.





